

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTED STATES DEPARPMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 view. Lugglo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,543	10/	31/2001	Frank L. Sassaman JR.	352 USF	352 USF 6420	
23774	7590	11/13/2003		EXAMINER		
DOUGLAS		TZ .	CINTINS,	CINTINS, IVARS C		
ATTORNEY AT LAW 5260 DEBORAH COURT  ART UNIT PA					PAPER NUMBER	
DOYLESTO				1724		
				DATE MAILED: 11/13/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V•			l.
-51	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/001,543	SASSAMAN ET AL.	
ravious y rousin	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to a timely filed amendment whice	ation. A proper reply to th places the application	o a on in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dar b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply loc later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se FR 1.136(a) and the approprount of the fee. The approportionally set in the final Off	ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ———		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	aparate, timely filed an	nendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-14,17-19,21 and 22</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		Ivars C. Cintins Primary Examiner	tins

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 10/001,543

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's attempt to broaden the scope of the claims by deleting: (1) the presence of a CMP unit, (2) the limitation that the stream treated contains the recited concentration of copper ions; and (3) the limitation that the stream contains the recited concentration of solids having the recited size raises new issues requiring further search and consideration.